

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Barker
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1285873
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Barker
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1285873
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Building on green field sites does not seem compatible with supporting a "flourishing natural environment"
Family Name	Barker
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1285873
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Building on green field sites does not seem compatible with supporting a "flourishing natural environment"

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Barker
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1285873
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Building on green field sites does not seem compatible with supporting a "flourishing natural environment"</p> <p>Over recent years there has been more and more development in Boothstown without any additional provision of infrastructure including Doctors, Dentists, School places and consideration of the extra traffic generated. Close to 200 houses have been built on former fields as part of the Bellway Edensfield project over the last 5 years.</p> <p>This new plan proposes 300 homes, again on one of the few remaining green areas in the town. The plans have no provision for extra infrastructure (mentioned above) - It's just adding more traffic to an already overstretched road network feeding to the motorway - Leigh Road is already beyond capacity, and yet 600 more cars is considered ok? Plus, there are all of the massive housing developments proposed in Wigan which will inevitably feed more traffic through Boothstown since the only way to join the M60 clockwise is from the Worsley roundabout.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Build housing on brown field sites - Or at the very least increase the infrastructure accordingly even if it does eat into the profits for the housing developers/Peel Holdings/Salford Council.